ISIS muon spectroscopy training school 2018: applications to magnetism



Various animals attempting to follow a scaling law.

The many faces of magnetism



How do we understand the occurrence of magnetic order?



Lev Landau (1908-1968)



Philip Anderson (1923-)

Broken symmetry is a cornerstone of CMP

Consider a magnet

 $T > T_{c}$ (a) \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow (b) \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow

These magnets are the same

Broken symmetry is a cornerstone of CMP

Consider a magnet

These magnets are different

This has a simple mathematical description



Mathematical singularity at T_c prevents you following the properties

The 4-fold way of broken symmetry

• Phase transitions

Mathematical singularity at $T_{\rm c}$

• Rigidity

order transmits forces

• New excitations

New particle spectrum

• Defects

Walls that separate different order in different places

The magnet

• Order parameter *M*



• Rigidity: permanent magnetism

• Excitations: magnon particles

• Defects: domain walls





The muon

Critical phenomena in magnetism



S.J. Blundell Magnetism in Condensed Matter

Muons as a probe of magnetism

- Microscopic: sensitive to local effects
- Sensitive to very weak magnetism
- Work well in zero applied field
- One muon at a time \rightarrow ultra dilute!

3.5

3.0

4.5

4.0

• μ^+ SR is great for: small moment magnetism random magnetism 2.5short range effects 2.0 20(zHW) $^{\eta}_{\Lambda}$ 1.0 15 A(t) (%) 0 0.35 K 0.5 5 5.02 K 0.00 0.5 2 0 3 $T(\mathbf{K})$ $t (\mu s)$

Uniformly weakly magnetic Non-magnetic, with strongly magnetic impurities

<u>Susceptibility</u> gives **average** information and therefore can give the same response for the situations sketched above

 μ SR gives **local** information and therefore can distinguish between these two situations.

Particle properties

Muon spin relaxation

muons

cryostat

quadrupole magnet

Helmholtz magnet

> photomultiplier tubes

Typical spectra for polycrystalline samples

Typical spectra for polycrystalline samples

More on relaxation functions $A(t) \sim \sum A_i \cos(\gamma_\mu |B_i|t)$ i † muon sites field at site In general $A(t) \sim \int p(B) \cos(\gamma_{\mu} B t) dB$

Usually we only have one or two muon sites but we need to take account of broadening/dynamics

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{3} \exp(-\lambda_{\parallel} t) + \frac{2}{3} \exp(-\lambda_{\perp} t) \cos(\gamma_{\mu} B t)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$1/T_{1}$$

$$1/T_{2}$$

EuO is THE localized ferromagnet

FFT amplitude

100

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ \text{Field} \\ 0.1 \end{array}$

0.0

 $\stackrel{0.2}{\underset{0.1 \text{ L}}{\text{(L)}}}$

0.0

100

S.J. Blundell et al. PRB 81, 092407 (2010)

Antiferromagnets.

MSR works just as well with AFMs : probes LOCAL fields

good spin precession signal (corresponds to 1.14 T at OK, in ~ agreement with S = 5/2dipolar field and hyperfine field).

Venura et al Hyp. Int. 17, 339 (1984)

Case study One dimensional molecular magnets

Models of low dimensional magnetism

	D=1, Ising	D=2, XY	D = 3, Heisenberg
d = 1	no order	no order	no order
d = 2	order	no order	no order
d = 3	order	order	order

D = Dimension of the spins d = dimension of the lattice

Coleman-Mermin-Wagner theorem forbids breaking a continuous symmetry for d=1 and 2 for T > 0

We can describe the physics with a deceptively simple looking equation

$$H = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$

Anderson Basic notions in Condensed Matter Physics

$Cu(NO_3)_2(pyz)$

Magnetism in 1 dimension

 $S{=}1/2\ \text{Cu}^{2+}$ ions linked by pyz

1D Cu-(pyz)-Cu chains along a

A Santoro *et al.*, Acta. Cryst., **95** 5780 (1973) P R Hammar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B, **59** 1008 (1999)

 $Cu(NO_3)_2(pyz)$

Magnetism in 1 dimension

 $S{=}1/2\ \text{Cu}^{2+}$ ions linked by pyz

1D Cu-(pyz)-Cu chains along a

High field magnetization and specific heat give $|J|/k_{\rm B}$ =10.3 K

No evidence of magnetic order down to 70 mK

A Santoro *et al.*, Acta. Cryst., **95** 5780 (1973) P R Hammar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B, **59** 1008 (1999)

Molecular magnets: muons are unique!

Observation of magnetic order - invisible to other techniques

Order observed in CuPzN with $T_{\rm N}$ =107 mK J'/J=4.4 ×10⁻³

Lancaster et al. Phys. Rev. B, 73 020410(R) (2006)

$Cu(NO_3)_2(pyz)$ μ^+SR results

The problem with finding T_N in low-d systems

Stochastic series QMC simulations say

The anomaly in C_v decreases with decreasing α

This is due to correlations above T_N (ΔS at T_N is therefore reduced)

Other measurements made difficult by the small magnetic moment in anisotropic systems

P Sengupta et al. Phys Rev B 68 94423 (2003)

The most beautiful magnetic spectrum ever recorded?







AgNiO₂: a new charge ordered state of matter?









Orbital degeneracy lifted via a charge ordering mechanism This gives rise to a well defined magnetic structure Muons see this, but show an

anomalous *T* dependence

Lancaster et al., PRL 100 017206 (2008)

What on earth are we measuring?



Local magnetic field at the muon site

 $*B_{1} = \frac{\mu_{0}M}{3}$ LORENTZ FIELD site independent Zero for antiferromagnets * Bdip (Im) DIPOLAR FIELD depends on muon site depends on direction of M * Bhf (Im) HYPERFINE FIELD due to electron spin density at muon site * Bdemag DEMAGNETIZATION depends on sample shape or FIELD domain structure

 $B_{dip}(\underline{r}) = \underbrace{\mu_{o}}_{4\pi} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{r_{i}^{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 3(\underline{m_{i}} \cdot \underline{r_{i}}) \underline{r_{i}} \\ -\frac{1}{r_{i}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$

 $B_{dip}(\underline{r}) = \underbrace{\mu_{o}}_{4\pi} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{r_{i}^{3}} \left[\frac{3(\underline{m_{i}} \cdot \underline{r_{i}})\underline{r_{i}}}{r_{i}^{2}} - \underline{m_{i}} \right]$



 $B_{dip}(\underline{r}) = \underbrace{\mu_{o}}_{4\pi} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{r_{i}^{3}} \left[\frac{3(\underline{m_{i}} \cdot \underline{r_{i}})\underline{r_{i}}}{r_{i}^{2}} - \underline{m_{i}} \right]$





Dynamics in magnetic systems

- Random fluctuations
- Elementary excitations
- Diffusive modes
- Hydrodynamics

We measure correlations in the local magnetic fields $\langle B(t)B(0)\rangle$



The muon as a probe of dynamics



$$\dot{n}_{\uparrow} = -W_{21}n_{\uparrow} + W_{12}n_{\downarrow}$$
$$\dot{n}_{\downarrow} = W_{21}n_{\uparrow} - W_{12}n_{\downarrow}$$
$$\delta P(t) \propto \exp(-(W_{21} + W_{12})t) = \exp(-\lambda t)$$

ĺ

Muon-spin relaxation

$$P_{z}(t) = P_{z}(0) e^{-\Gamma t}$$

$$Nuon$$

$$P_{z}(t) = P_{z}(0) e^{-\Gamma t}$$

$$Relaxation$$

$$Relaxation$$

$$rate$$

$$\Gamma = \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{\mu}^{2} \langle B_{\perp}(t) B_{\perp}(0) \rangle \cos \omega_{L} t dt$$

$$T$$
Field-field correlation
$$T$$
function
$$T$$
longitudinal
field

$$1 \mathcal{G} \langle B_{1}(t) B_{1}(0) \rangle = \langle B_{1}^{2} \rangle e^{-\omega t}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma = \frac{2\Delta^{2} \nu}{\nu^{2} + \omega_{L}^{2}}$$

$$\omega_{L} = 0 \qquad \Gamma = 2\Delta^{2}/\nu$$

$$\omega_{L} \neq 0 \qquad \Gamma \Rightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad \omega_{L} \Rightarrow \infty$$

Systems with energy gaps



Spin Peierls: another fate for 1D spin systems





Isolated dimers



Dimers have an *S*=0 ground state (no magnetization) and a gap to the first excited magnetic state.



Spin Peierls: another fate for 1D spin systems



MEM(TCNQ)₂

S.J. Blundell et al., JPCM 9 L119 (1997)

Quantum magnetism and dimers [Cu(gly)(pyz)](ClO₄)



arXiv:1311.761

 $[Cu(gly)(pyz)](ClO_4)$

Bleaney-Bowers Susceptibility: *J*=7.5 K



 $[Cu(gly)(pyz)](ClO_4)$

Bleaney-Bowers Susceptibility: *J*=7.5 K



No order in ZF down to 30 mK

Isolated dimers



Weakly coupled dimers



In an idealized case we expect a quantum phase transition to XY magnetic order

Weakly coupled dimers



In an idealized case we expect a quantum phase transition to XY magnetic order

 $[Cu(gly)(pyz)](ClO_4)$

Bleaney-Bowers Susceptibility: *J*=7.5 K



Two set of transitions in applied field

[Cu(gly)(pyz)](ClO₄)



Suggests J = 7.3 K and J' = 3.3 K



Conclusions

- Muons are a sensitive probe of magnetism
- Useful for static and dynamic effects
- Work well at low temperatures



 Examples include: low-dimensional magnetism incommensurate structures dynamics





You can find out more about magnetism in many books

Including:



... just one more thing:



Case study: Dynamics in molecular nanomagnets



Single molecule magnets

Magnetic ion clusters which couple to give large S with negative anisotropy

 $\hat{H}_e = -D\hat{S}_z^2 + g\mu_{\rm B}\hat{\mathbf{S}}\cdot\mathbf{B}$



μ^+ SR results have been ambiguous...

...but similar results seen in all cases



What does it all mean?

Lancaster et al. JPCM 16, S4563 (2004)



Amit Keren's suggestion



Keren et al. PRL 98 257204 (2007)



Amit Keren's suggestion

Proton fluctuations determine the muon response



Keren et al. PRL 98 257204 (2007)



Amit Keren's suggestion

Proton fluctuations determine the muon response



but electron spins are being relaxed

$$rac{1}{ au_{
m e}} \Box \langle B_{
m n}^2
angle au_n$$

Keren et al. PRL 98 257204 (2007)

Step 1: show that electronic spins relax the muon spins Make measurements on S=0 and S=1 materials



Prediction: significantly more relaxation from S=1 material

Lancaster et al., PRB 81, 140409(R)
The effect of electronic moments



Conclusion: electronic moments on the MNMs relax the muon spins

Lancaster *et al.* PRB **81**, 140409(R) (2010)

Step 2: show that nuclear spins relax the electronic spins Make measurements on protonated and deuterated materials



Prediction



 $Cr_7Mn S=1$

proton has μ =2.8 $\mu_{\rm N}$ deuteron has μ =0.857 $\mu_{\rm N}$

Prediction: more relaxation from deuterated material

Lancaster *et al.*, PRB **81**, 140409(R)

The effect of nuclear moments



If we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle B_n^2 \rangle & \Box & \gamma_{\mu}^2 I_n (I_n + 1) \\ \\ \tau_n & \Box & 1 / \left[\gamma_{\mu} \sqrt{I_n (I_n + 1)} \right] \\ \\ \text{then expect a factor of } \sim 4 \end{array}$$

Larger relaxation observed for deuterated materials Conclusion: nuclear moments on the MNMs relax the electronic spins

Lancaster et al. PRB 81, 140409(R) (2010)

 $[Cu(pyz)_2HF_2]X_2(X=BF_4, CIO_4, PF_6, AsF_6, SbF_6)$ Highly tunable, self-assembled nanostructures with 2D character First coordination polymer containing the HF₂⁻ ion

(strongest known hydrogen bond!)



2D square lattice of $Cu^{2+} S = 1/2$ spins



Linked by HF_2^{-} to form 3D structure (with X anions in the cubes)

$[Cu(pyz)_2HF_2]BF_4$



Magnetic order below $T_{\rm N}$ =1.54 K

Slow oscillations above 1.54 K aren't due to magnetic order...

Chem. Comm. 4894 (2006)



Quantum entanglement: $F-\mu^+$ dipole-dipole interaction The muon forms a bond with electronegative fluorine



Muon-fluorine entangled states in molecular magnets Above T_N entanglement allows us to locate the muon site



T Lancaster et al. PRL 99 267601 (2007)

Muon-fluorine entangled states in molecular magnets Above T_N entanglement allows us to locate the muon site



T Lancaster et al. PRL 99 267601 (2007)

Muon-fluorine entangled states in molecular magnets Above $T_{\rm N}$ entanglement allows us to locate the muon site





Octahedral PF_6^{-}



(b)



 HF_2 ion

T Lancaster et al. PRL 99 267601 (2007)





Stacks of TMTSF molecules \Rightarrow 1D chains

TMTSF salts

Very rich phase diagram





1D electron gas unstable to SDW formation



Spin-density wave



Raw muon data on TMTSF₂X



L.P. Le et al, PRB 48 7284 (1993)

Spin density wave system: μ^+ SR response



Note also that as $\eta >> 1$ $J_0(\eta) \sim \left(\frac{2}{\pi\eta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\eta - \pi/4)$

SDW phase in (TMTSF)₂X



L.P. Le et al, PRB **48** 7284 (1993)