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Lecture 1: Basic Ideas, Newtonian motion, trajectories, sampling.
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## What is Molecular Dynamics?

- MD is the solution of the classical equations of motion for atoms and molecules to obtain the time evolution of the system.
- Applied to many-particle systems - a general analytical solution not possible. Must resort to numerical methods and computers.
- Classical mechanics only - fully fledged many-particle timedependent quantum method not yet available.
- Gives time evolution of systems: trajectories. These allow time dependant phenomena, such as diffusion, to be followed.
- Maxwell-Boltzmann averaging process for thermodynamic properties (time averaging).


## Lennard-Jones Spheres

(Argon).
Pair Potential: $V\left(r_{i j}\right)=4 \varepsilon\left\{\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i j}}\right)^{12}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i j}}\right)^{6}\right\}$
Pair force:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{i x}=-\frac{\partial V\left(r_{i j}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=-\frac{\partial V\left(r_{i j}\right)}{\partial r_{i j}} \frac{\partial r_{i j}}{\partial x_{i}} \\
\vec{r}_{i j}=\vec{r}_{j}-\vec{r}_{i} \\
r_{i j}=\left(\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{j}-y_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{j}-z_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i j}}{\partial x_{i}}=-\frac{\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right)}{r_{i j}}=-\frac{r_{i x}}{r_{i j}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note this leads to equal and opposite forces on the two particles.

## Lennard -Jones Potential



## Molecular Dynamics: Physical properties, e.g. water

## Molecular dynamics can be used to simulate the collective behaviour of large systems and so provide ensemble averages as estimates of marcoscopic properties. <br> Water has been studied extensively: Important and accurate experimental data.

Table 2 Calculated physical properties of the presented water models and their respective experimental values (all the data are at 298 K and 1 atm , except * at 300 K and ${ }^{\#}$ at 293 K )

| Model | SPC | SPC/E | TIP3P | TIP4P | Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density, $\rho\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $970 *$ a | 998** | $1002{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $1001^{\text {i }}$ | $997{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Dipole moment, $\mu$ (D) | $2.27{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.39{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.35{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.95{ }^{1}$ |
| Dielectric constant, $\varepsilon$ (adimensional) | $65^{\text {c }}$ | $68^{\text {c }}$ | $97^{\text {c }}$ | $53^{\# \#}$ | $78.39^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $29 *$ k |  |
| Self-diffusion constant, D ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $3.85{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2.49{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $5.19{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3.31{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2.30{ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| Average configurational energy, $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | $-37.7^{\text {a }}$ | $-41.4^{\text {a }}$ | $-41.1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $-42.1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $-41.5^{\circ}$ |
| Density maximum, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{TMD}}(\mathrm{K})$ | $228{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $235^{\text {g }}$ | $182^{\text {e }}$ | $253{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $277.13^{\text {p }}$ |
| Expansion coefficient, $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}\left(10^{-4}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}\right)$ | 7.3* ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $5.14{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $9.2{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $4.4{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $2.53{ }^{\text {q }}$ |

[^0]Taken from Ozu et al., Eur. Biophys. J. (2013) 42: 223


Table 1 Parameters of the described models

| Model | L-J parameters |  | $\mathrm{L}\left(10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ | $\mathrm{l}\left(10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$ | $\theta^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\sigma\left(10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ | $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPC | 3.166 | 0.650 | 1.0000 | - | +0.410 | -0.8200 | 109.47 |
| SPC/E | 3.166 | 0.650 | 1.0000 | - | +0.4238 | -0.8476 | 109.47 |
| TIP3P | 3.15061 | 0.6364 | 0.9572 | - | - | -0.4170 | -0.8340 |
| TIP4P | 3.15365 | 0.6480 | 0.9572 | 0.15 | +0.5200 | -1.0400 | 104.52 |

$\sigma$ : Lennard-Jones collision diameter; $\varepsilon$ : depth of the potential well; L: distance between the centres of mass (COM) of the oxygen and hydrogen atoms. qH : positive charge; qO : negative charge; is called $\theta^{\circ}: \mathrm{HOH}$ angle; $\varphi^{\circ}$ : angle between the OH segment and the OD segment (i.e. $\theta^{\circ}=2 \varphi^{\circ}$ ) ; 1: distance between the dummy position (D in Fig. 1) where the negative charge is placed (near the oxygen along the bisector of $\theta^{\circ}$ ) and the oxygen centre of mass

Taken from Ozu et al., Eur. Biophys. J. (2013) 42: 223

## Water models: TIP4P and simple three site models

(a)

(b)

(c)


Water TIP4P/2005 UNITS kcal ATOMS 4

| ow | 15.9996 | 0.0000 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| hw | 1.00080 | 0.5564 | 1 |
| hw | 1.00080 | 0.5564 | 1 |
| M | 0.10000 | -1.1128 | 1 |

rigid 1
41234
FINISH
VDW 1
ow ow lj 0.185193 .1589
close
Part of a DLPOLY FIELD file for TIP4P water.
ATOMS lines read as "mass" "charge" "number"
Additional site marked as "M"

Molecule treated as a rigid body.


Fig. 4 Schematic representation of AQP1. a Snapshot showing the single file of water molecules inside the channel. b Scheme of AQP1 showing the water dipole inversion (green arrows) during

translocation through the channel. Adapted from de Groot and Grubmüller (2001), ISSN 0036-8075 (print), ISSN 1095-9203 (online). Reprinted with permission from AAAS

## Equations of Motion, the Lagrangian

The Lagrangian is the difference between the system kinetic and potential energy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=K(\dot{q})-U(q) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here q is a general co-ordinate and a dot over a letter means a time derivative (Newton). In forcefield dynamics $U$ depends only on position, $q$, and $K$ only on velocity $\dot{q}$.

General property of the Lagrangian: the time derivative of the momentum is equal to the derivative of $L$ w.r.t. position. Allows a relationship between the co-ordinate and its time derivatives to be established.

For our gas of Lennard-Jones Argon atoms:

$$
L\left(\vec{r}_{i}, \vec{v}_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i}^{N-1} \sum_{j>i} V\left(r_{i j}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& q \text { is the atom co-ordinates and we } \\
& \text { have } \quad
\end{aligned} \dot{q}_{i}=\frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial t}=v_{i}
$$

For particle $i$ :
LHS of
gen. prop. $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{i}}=m_{i} v_{i} \\ & \text { Momentum, } p_{i}\end{aligned} \quad$ so $\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{i}}\right)=m_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { RHS of } \\ & \text { gen. prop. }\end{aligned} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{i}}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial r_{i}}$

$$
\text { giving }-\frac{\partial V}{\partial r_{i}}=m_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Which says force is rate of change of momentum, } \\
& \text { the familiar } f=m a, \text { for each particle. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{i}=m_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t}$
The force on atom $i$ for any given configuration can be calculated from the forcefield. This equation relates force and acceleration.
$\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t}=\frac{f_{i}}{m_{i}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { So for any given configuration we know the acceleration of each } \\ & \text { particle }\end{aligned}$ $\partial t \quad m_{i} \quad$ particle.
$v_{i}(\tau)=v_{i}(0)+\int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{d v_{i}}{d t} d t$
If a particle has an initial velocity, $v_{i}(0)$, and moves under the action of this force for a time, $\tau$, its velocity after the time, $\tau$, will be given by integration.
$r_{i}(\tau)=r_{i}(0)+\int_{0}^{\tau} v_{i}(t) d t$
Similarly the position of the particle after time, $\tau$, is given by an integral of the velocity.

Unfortunately once the particles move the distances governing the potential change and so the forces are altered.

Molecular dynamics is about integrating these equations of motion such that the continuous trajectories are obtained numerically.

## Integration: Essential Idea



$$
[\underline{r}(\mathrm{t}), \underline{v}(\mathrm{t}), \underline{f}(\mathrm{t})] \longrightarrow[\underline{r}(t+\tau), \underline{v}(t+\tau), \underline{f}(t+\tau)]
$$

## The Verlet algorithm (1967).

In a molecular dynamics simulations the configuration at time is split into a series of steps of length, $\tau$, the time step. Information from previous steps can be used to generate future steps.

We have looked at Newton's laws for the position of any atom at time $t+\tau$. This is the first part of a Taylor expansion:

$$
r_{i}(t+\tau)=r_{i}(t)+v_{i}(t) \tau+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{i}(t)}{m_{i}} \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \dddot{r}_{i}(t) \tau^{3} \ldots \ldots
$$

We could equally well back track to time $t-\tau$ by using a negative time step, this would give:

$$
r_{i}(t-\tau)=r_{i}(t)-v_{i}(t) \tau+\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{i}(t)}{m_{i}} \tau^{2}-\frac{1}{6} \dddot{r}_{i}(t) \tau^{3} \ldots \ldots
$$

By adding these equations we can remove the need to calculate the velocity at any timestep since:

$$
r_{i}(t+\tau)+r_{i}(t-\tau)=2 r_{i}(t)+\frac{f_{i}(t)}{m_{i}} \tau^{2}
$$

So the next position for the atom in the trajectory is:

$$
r_{i}(t+\tau)=2 r_{i}(t)-r_{i}(t-\tau)+\frac{f_{i}(t)}{m_{i}} \tau^{2}
$$

Note that in this scheme the cubic terms in the timestep have been cancelled out and so the error in the interpolation to the next point is of order $\tau^{4}$.

This means that the Verlet algorithm will follow the "real" trajectory of the system more accurately than a simple scheme based on the velocity and force equation for $r_{i}$.

## Time line for a Verlet simulation

Time:


Position :


$$
r_{i}(t+\tau)=2 r_{i}(t)-r_{i}(t-\tau)+\frac{f_{i}(t)}{m_{i}} \tau^{2}
$$

The velocity is not required for the trajectory in the Verlet algorithm but it is usually required for calculating the kinetic energy of the system.

The velocity can be calculated from any two positions using the finite difference equation:

$$
v_{i}(t)=\frac{r_{i}(t+\tau)-r_{i}(t-\tau)}{2 \tau}
$$

This allows us to calculate the kinetic energy at any time in the simulation just after making a step.

This gives an error in the velocity of order $\tau^{2}$.
So although the trajectory error is small, accurate velocities requires a small timestep.

## Boundary Conditions

- None - biopolymer simulations
- Stochastic boundaries - biopolymers
- Hard wall boundaries - pores, capillaries
- Periodic boundaries - most MD simulations



## Periodic Boundaries - Consequences

- System no longer has a surface.
- System becomes pseudo-periodic (used to advantage for Ewald sums).
- Correlations in space beyond half cell width (L/2) are artificial. For this reason, the cut-off $r_{c u t}$ is usually no greater than $\mathrm{L} / 2$.
- Correlations in time beyond $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{c}$ are (in principle) subject to recurrence. In practice this does not seem to be the case.
- Use with Minimum Image convention.


## Minimum Image Convention

Use $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$, not $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$ to find $\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}$, work in fractional co-ordinates:

$$
f_{a i j}=a^{*} \bullet r_{i j} \quad f_{b i j}=b^{*} \bullet r_{i j} \quad f_{a i j}=c^{*} \bullet r_{i j} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (*indicates reciprocal } \\
& \text { space vectors })
\end{aligned}
$$



These need to be in the range $-1 / 2<f \leq 1 / 2$

$$
f_{i j^{\prime}}=f_{i j}-\operatorname{nint}\left(f_{i j}\right)
$$

$$
(\operatorname{nint}(f)=\text { nearest integer to } f)
$$

Then convert back to Cartesian:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
r_{i j^{\prime} x} \\
r_{i j^{\prime} y} \\
r_{i j^{\prime} z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{x} & b_{x} & c_{x} \\
a_{y} & b_{y} & c_{y} \\
a_{z} & b_{z} & c_{z}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{a i j^{\prime}} \\
f_{b i j^{\prime}} \\
f_{c i j^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For van der Waals interactions use only the nearest images of atoms. The minimum image convention limits the cut off used in the potential sum to half the shortest lattice parameter.

## Considerations for MD

In the potentials we are using the force generated between a pair of atoms is equal and opposite. This means that the total momentum of the system cannot change, i.e.:

$$
\sum_{i} m_{i} \underline{v}_{i}=0 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Similarly the centre of mass } \\
& \text { position should be fixed: }
\end{aligned} \underline{\underline{r}}_{c n}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \underline{\underline{r}}_{i}}{\sum_{i} m_{i}} \text { since }\left(\dot{\underline{\underline{r}}}_{c n}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} \dot{\underline{r}}_{i}}{\sum_{i} m_{i}}\right)
$$

Observations such as this allow checks that coding/integration are working!
In MD we have two contributions to the energy.

1) Kinetic energy, which sets the temperature: $K E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i}\left|\underline{v}_{i}(t)\right|^{2}$
2) Potential energy, which is dependant on the atomic configuration according to the forcefield: $\quad P E(t)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j>i} V_{i j}\left(r_{i j}(t)\right)$

The energy of the system is continually interchanging between potential and kinetic contributions.

## Key Stages in MD Simulation


-Set up initial system positions of atoms and initial velocities (Boltzmann with random directions)

- Calculate atomic forces based on potential model.
-Calculate atomic motion via the integration algorithm, e.g. Verlet.
-Calculate physical properties
- Repeat!
- Produce final summary


Figure 2. Snapshots of a condensation simulation at $T_{\mathrm{PE}}=80 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\rho=50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ after 5 ns . (a) Complete polymer film with condensed argon and a part of the argon vapor phase. (b) Surface layers of argon and a part of the argon vapor phase. (b) Surface layers of
polyethylene. (c) Polyethylene surface layer and first argon layer. (d) polyethylene. (c) Polyethylene surface layer and first argon layer. (d)
Polyethylene surface layer with first and all subsequent argon layers. Polyethylene surface layer with first and all subs
Each layer has a different grayscale (red shade).

## Lennard-Jones Spheres (Argon).

Provides a relatively simple system in which the ideas of using molecular dynamics to study kinetics and thermodynamics of physical processes can be tested.

Here a simulation of Ar condensation at the surface of a polyethylene film is simulated.

System size:
Film consists of 374 chains, 70 sites each.
Vapor phase: 5000 argon atoms.
Run times: 1 ns equilibration of components. 12 ns run times.

R. Rozas \& T. Kraska, J. Phys. Chem. C, 111, 15784, (2007).

## System Properties (1)

Thermodynamic Properties MD Kinetic Energy:

$$
\langle K . E .\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}\right\rangle
$$

The average <...> kinetic energy per particle is directly related to the statistical mechanics definition of temperature:

$$
T=\frac{2}{3 N k_{B}}\langle K . E .\rangle
$$



$$
T=\frac{2}{3 N k_{B}}\langle\text { K.E. }\rangle \quad \text { Velocity scaling }
$$

To get around the problem of temperature drift we can simply remove or inject kinetic energy by scaling the velocities in the initial stages of the calculation:

$$
K E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_{i}\left|s \cdot \underline{v}_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \quad s=\left(\frac{T(s e t)}{T(t)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Where $T($ set $)$ is the target temperature for the simulation. This technique is referred to as velocity scaling and is used during the equilibration period of the simulation.


Example MD run with $T$ set at $300 K$, velocity scaling used up to 5ps.

Thereafter fluctuations in
Tincrease but no drift away from average.

## Radial Distribution Function, RDF

The radial distribution function is the probability of finding a pair of atoms a distance $\boldsymbol{r}$ apart relative to the probability for a completely uniform distribution.


To calculate distances in this region requires min. image

Volume of the sampling shell:

$$
\delta V=\frac{4}{3} \pi(r+\delta r)^{3}-\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3}=4 \pi r^{2} \delta r
$$

If this were a perfectly uniform distribution the number of particle pairs at a particular $r$ will depend on the concentration and volume of space sampled:

$$
d_{u n i}(r)=\underbrace{\frac{N(N-1)}{V}}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Num pairs per } \\
\text { unit volume }
\end{array}} \underbrace{4 \pi r^{2} \delta r}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Volume sampled } \\
\text { at } r .
\end{array}}
$$

## Radial distribution function

The radial distribution function is calculated for each frame and then averaged:

$$
g(r)=\frac{\sum_{\text {frames }} d(r)}{N_{f} d_{\text {uni }}(r)}=\frac{V}{4 \pi N_{f} N(N-1) r^{2} \delta r} \sum_{\text {frames }} \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \delta\left(r-r_{i j}\right)
$$

The function indicates if there
 is local structure in the fluid.

A uniform distribution of particles would have $g(r)=1$ for all $r$.

So accumulation of particles shows up as
$g(r)>1$
and depletion as
$g(r)<1$.

## Edge of simulation cell $\quad$ Radial Distribution Function, RDF



The distribution of particles at a particular instant (i.e. for a particular frame) can be calculated from the atom positions:

$$
d(r)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \delta\left(r-r_{i j}\right)
$$



Where we apply a binning criterion:

$$
\text { if }\left((r-\delta r / 2)<r_{i j}>(r+\delta r / 2)\right) \quad \delta\left(r-r_{i j}\right)=1
$$

To calculate distances in this region requires min. image

To do this an array structure of "bins" is set up.
Then the relationship between $r_{i j}$ and the bin indices is used to increment the corresponding bin counter.

> BIN_WIDTH


$$
\text { index }=\operatorname{int}\left(\frac{\left(r_{i j}-\min \right)}{\text { bin_width }}\right)+1 \stackrel{S_{.}}{\stackrel{\text { For Fortran }}{\text { programmers }}}
$$

## Information from Radial Distribution Functions.

Example DFT-MD/ QM-MM calculation of the distribution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ around a $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ cation.


Note:
First $g_{\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{r})$ peak integrates to 6 . (co-ordination № $)$ QM/MM combination captures $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{r})$.

Orientation of water in first solvation shell leads to depletion in $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{r})$ between 2.5 and $3.5 \AA$.

E. Cauët et al., J. Chem. Phys. 132, 194502, (2010)


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Berendsen et al. (1987), ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ van der Spoel et al. (1998), ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Höchtl et al. (1998), ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Mahoney and Jorgensen (2001), ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Vega and Abascal (2005), ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Yu and
     (1989), ${ }^{1}$ Gubskaya and Kusalik (2002), ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Vidulich et al. (1967), ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Krynicki et al. (1978), ${ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ Postma (1985), ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Franks (2000), ${ }^{\mathrm{q}}$ Kell (1975)

    Physical properties that show most similar values to experimental determinations are indicated in bold

